

The virial theorem and the dark matter problem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity

Salvatore Capozziello^{1,2,*}, Tiberiu Harko^{3,†}, Tomi S. Koivisto^{4,‡}, Francisco S.N. Lobo^{5,§} and Gonzalo J. Olmo^{6,¶}

¹*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, Italy*

²*INFN Sez. di Napoli, Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio G, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy*

³*Department of Mathematics, University College London,
Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*

⁴*Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1029 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway*

⁵*Centro de Astronomia e Astrofísica da Universidade de Lisboa,
Campo Grande, Ed. C8 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal and*

⁶*Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de
Valencia - CSIC. Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot-46100, Valencia, Spain*

(Dated: December 27, 2012)

Hybrid metric-Palatini gravity is a recently proposed theory, consisting of the superposition of the metric Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian with an $f(\mathcal{R})$ term constructed à la Palatini. The theory predicts the existence of a long-range scalar field, which passes the Solar System observational constraints, even if the scalar field is very light, and modifies the cosmological and galactic dynamics. Thus, the theory opens new possibilities to approach, in the same theoretical framework, the problems of both dark energy and dark matter. In this work, we consider the generalized virial theorem in the scalar-tensor representation of the hybrid metric-Palatini gravity. More specifically, taking into account the relativistic collisionless Boltzmann equation, we show that the supplementary geometric terms in the gravitational field equations provide an effective contribution to the gravitational potential energy. We show that the total virial mass is proportional to the effective mass associated with the new terms generated by the effective scalar field, and the baryonic mass. This shows that the geometric origin in the generalized virial theorem may account for the well-known virial theorem mass discrepancy in clusters of galaxies. In addition to this, we also consider astrophysical applications of the model and show that the model predicts that the mass associated to the scalar field and its effects extend beyond the virial radius of the clusters of galaxies. In the context of the galaxy cluster velocity dispersion profiles predicted by the hybrid metric-Palatini model, the generalized virial theorem can be an efficient tool in observationally testing the viability of this class of generalized gravity models. Thus, hybrid metric-Palatini gravity provides an effective alternative to the dark matter paradigm of present day cosmology and astrophysics.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.20.Jb, 04.20.Cv, 95.35.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern astrophysics and cosmology are facing two major intriguing challenges, namely, the dark energy and the dark matter problems, respectively. Two important astronomical observations, the flat rotations curves of galaxies, and the virial mass discrepancy in clusters of galaxies led to the necessity of inferring the existence of a special form of matter, called dark matter, which interacts with baryonic matter only gravitationally, and whose presence can explain the dynamical behavior of test particles at the galactic and extra-galactic scale. On the galactic or intra-galactic scale, the astronomical observations show a linear mass increase even in regions where very little baryonic matter can be detected, with the rotational velocities attaining an approximately constant value, $v_{tg\infty} \sim 200 - 300 \text{ km/s}$, within a distance r

from the center of the galaxy [1].

The determination of the total mass that is gravitationally bounded in clusters of galaxies is important for our understanding of the nature and evolution of structures on cosmological scales. The gravitational masses of clusters of galaxies are estimated by assuming a hydrostatic equilibrium of both the hot intra-cluster gas and of the galaxies with the binding cluster potential. Therefore the total mass of a cluster of galaxies can be estimated in two ways. In the first method, by taking into account the dynamical motions of the member galaxies of the cluster, and with the application of the virial theorem, one obtains an estimate M_V for the mass of the cluster. Secondly, the total baryonic mass M_B can be determined by adding the mass of each individual galaxy member of the cluster. The mass discrepancy at the galactic cluster level arises as observations show that M_V is much greater than M_B , with typical values of $M_V/M \sim 20 - 30$ [1].

These important astrophysical observations are usually explained by postulating the existence of a new form of matter, called dark matter, assumed to be a cold pressure-less fluid extended in a spherically symmetric halo around the galaxies and clusters (for a recent review on the dark matter properties see [2]). Many candidates for dark matter particles have been proposed, the

*Electronic address: capozzie@na.infn.it

†Electronic address: tiberiu.harko@gmail.com

‡Electronic address: tomi.koivisto@fys.uio.no

§Electronic address: flobo@cii.fc.ul.pt

¶Electronic address: gonzalo.olmo@csic.es

most popular ones being the Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) [3]. The interaction cross section of WIMPs with standard baryonic matter is assumed to be extremely small, but non-zero, and therefore these particles may be directly observable experimentally. Recently, a pressure-less and non-comoving two-fluid dark matter model has also been analysed, in which dark matter is represented as a two-component fluid thermodynamic system, without interaction between the constituent particles of different species [4].

However, despite the extensive experimental and observational investigations, up to now *non-gravitational* evidence for dark matter is still lacking. Moreover, major accelerator and reactor experiments, like LHC, did not bring convincing evidence for the existence of new physics beyond the standard model, on which the dark matter hypothesis is based upon. Therefore, the possibility that Einstein's (and Newton's) theory cannot describe gravitational phenomena at the scale of galaxies and clusters of galaxies must not be excluded *a priori*. Several theoretical approaches, in which "dark matter" can be understood as a modification of the gravitational laws at large scales have been extensively proposed in the literature [5].

The virial theorem, which gives a simple relation between the kinetic and potential energy of a system of particles, plays an important role in astrophysics and cosmology [6]. By observing the velocities of test particles and by assuming hydrostatic equilibrium, with the use of the virial theorem, one can obtain the mean density of astrophysical objects such as galaxies, clusters, or super clusters. An important application of the virial theorem is the determination of the total mass of the clusters of galaxies. The virial theorem is also a powerful tool for the study of the stability of gravitationally bounded objects. The extension of the Newtonian virial theorem to the general relativistic case has led to several versions of the virial theorem [7], including the effect of a cosmological constant [8, 9], the generalization to brane world models [10], $f(R)$ gravity [11], DGP brane models [12], and to Palatini $f(R)$ models [13].

In this context, a novel approach to modified theories of gravity was proposed [14], that consists of adding to the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian a $f(R)$ term constructed within the framework of the Palatini formalism. Using the respective dynamically equivalent scalar-tensor representation, even if the scalar field is very light, the theory passes the Solar System observational constraints. Therefore the long-range scalar field is able to modify the cosmological and galactic dynamics, but leaves the Solar System unaffected. The absence of instabilities in perturbations was also verified, and explicit models, which are consistent with local tests and lead to the late-time cosmic acceleration were also found.

The cosmological applications of the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational theory were investigated in [15]. Criteria to obtain cosmic acceleration were analyzed, and the field equations were formulated as a dynamical sys-

tem. Several classes of cosmological solutions, depending on the functional form of the effective scalar field potential, describing both accelerating and decelerating universes, were explicitly obtained. Furthermore, the cosmological perturbation equations were derived and applied to uncover the nature of the propagating scalar degree of freedom and the signatures of these models predicted in the large-scale structure. In addition to this, the hybrid metric-Palatini theory was considered in wormhole physics [16]. The general conditions for wormhole solutions according to the null energy conditions at the throat in the $f(X)$ gravity were presented in [16]. Several wormhole type solutions were also obtained and analyzed. In the first solution, the redshift function and the scalar field were specified, and the potential was chosen so that the modified Klein-Gordon equation can be simplified. This solution is not asymptotically flat and needs to be matched to a vacuum solution. In the second example, by adequately specifying the metric functions and choosing the scalar field, one can find an asymptotically flat spacetime.

The purpose of the present paper is to consider the virial theorem in the framework of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity. Using the collisionless Boltzmann equation in the modified Einstein field equations we derive a generalized virial equality for the hybrid model. The generalized virial theorem takes into account the presence of the supplementary scalar field related terms, which do appear due to the modification of the gravitational action. These supplementary geometric terms, and their scalar field equivalent, give an effective contribution to the gravitational potential energy, with the total virial mass being given by the sum of the effective mass associated to the new scalar field related terms, and the baryonic mass, respectively. Therefore the new scalar field may account for the virial theorem mass discrepancy in clusters of galaxies [1]. The gravitational field equations of the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational model together with the virial theorem also allow to obtain the metric inside the cluster of galaxies in a simple form, as functions of physical parameters that can be fully determined from astrophysical observations, like, for example, the temperature of the intra-cluster gas and the radius and central density of the cluster core. Therefore the generalized virial theorem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity can be an efficient tool in observationally testing the viability of this class of generalized gravity models.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we briefly present the general formalism of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, for self-completeness and self-consistency. In Section III, the gravitational field equations for spherically symmetric galactic clusters in the scalar-tensor version of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity and the relativistic Boltzmann equation are explored. In Section IV, the generalized virial theorem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity is derived. Astrophysical applications of the virial theorem are explored in Section V. In particular, predictions of the geometric mass and geo-

metric radius from galactic cluster observations are presented, and the behavior of the galaxy cluster velocity dispersion in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity models is considered. Finally, in Section VI, we discuss and conclude our results.

II. HYBRID METRIC-PALATINI GRAVITY: THE FORMALISM

The action for hybrid metric-Palatini gravity is given by [14]

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R + f(\mathcal{R}) + 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{L}_m] , \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the matter Lagrangian density. The scalar curvature $\mathcal{R}$ depends on both the metric and an independent dynamical connection $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$, and is defined as

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu,\alpha}^\alpha - \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha,\nu}^\alpha + \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\lambda}^\alpha \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}^\alpha \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor obtained from the connection $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$.

Varying the action (1) with respect to the metric, one obtains the following gravitational field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} + F(\mathcal{R}) \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(\mathcal{R}) g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where the matter stress-energy tensor is defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (4)$$

The independent connection is compatible with the metric $F(\mathcal{R}) g_{\mu\nu}$, conformal to $g_{\mu\nu}$; the conformal factor is given by $F(\mathcal{R}) \equiv df(\mathcal{R})/d\mathcal{R}$. The latter considerations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} &= R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{F^2(\mathcal{R})} F(\mathcal{R})_{,\mu} F(\mathcal{R})_{,\nu} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{F(\mathcal{R})} \nabla_\mu F(\mathcal{R})_{,\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{F(\mathcal{R})} g_{\mu\nu} \square F(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}$ can be obtained from the trace of the field equations (3), which yields $F(\mathcal{R}) \mathcal{R} - 2f(\mathcal{R}) - R = \kappa^2 T$.

Introducing an auxiliary field, the hybrid metric-Palatini action (1) can be turned into a scalar-tensor theory given by the following action (we refer the reader to [14] for more details)

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R + \phi \mathcal{R} - V(\phi)] + S_m. \quad (6)$$

Varying this action with respect to the metric, the scalar ϕ and the connection yields the following field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} + \phi \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (R + \phi \mathcal{R} - V) g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{R} - V_\phi = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_\alpha (\sqrt{-g} \phi g^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (9)$$

respectively. Note that the solution of Eq. (9) implies that the independent connection is the Levi-Civita connection of a metric $h_{\mu\nu} = \phi g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus we are dealing with a bi-metric theory and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}$ are related by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{2\phi^2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{\phi} \left(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \square \phi \right), \quad (10)$$

and consequently $\mathcal{R} = R + 3 \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi / 2\phi^2 - 3 \square \phi / \phi$, which can be used in the action (6) to get rid of the independent connection and obtain the following scalar-tensor representation:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[(1 + \phi) R + \frac{3}{2\phi} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right] + S_m, \quad (11)$$

where S_m is the matter action. Note that the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational theory is equivalent with the purely metric Brans-Dicke-like action, with the Brans-Dicke parameter $w = -3/2$, but with a different coupling to matter.

The variation of this action with respect to the metric tensor gives the field equations

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \phi) G_{\mu\nu} &= \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - \square \phi g_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2\phi} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{3}{4\phi} \nabla_\lambda \phi \nabla^\lambda \phi g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} V g_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Varying the action with respect to the scalar field we obtain

$$R - \frac{3}{\phi} \square \phi + \frac{3}{2\phi^2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0 \quad (13)$$

Moreover, one can show that the identity

$$2V - \phi \frac{dV}{d\phi} = \kappa^2 T + R. \quad (14)$$

also holds, and that the scalar field ϕ is governed by the second-order evolution equation

$$-\square \phi + \frac{1}{2\phi} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{3} \phi \left[2V - (1 + \phi) \frac{dV}{d\phi} \right] = \frac{\phi \kappa^2}{3} T, \quad (15)$$

which is an effective Klein-Gordon equation.

III. GALACTIC CLUSTERS: FIELD EQUATIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF IDENTICAL AND COLLISIONLESS POINT PARTICLES

Astronomical and astrophysical observations have proved that galaxies tend to concentrate in larger structures, called clusters of galaxies, with total masses ranging from $10^{13} M_\odot$ for groups up to a few $10^{15} M_\odot$ for very large systems. The cluster morphology is usually dominated by a regular, centrally peaked main component [18, 19]. Since clusters are considered to be “dark

matter” dominated astronomical systems, their formation and evolution is controlled by the gravitational force. The mass function of the clusters is determined by the initial conditions of the mass distribution set in the early universe. The evolution of the cosmological perturbations of the large scale matter distribution on scales comparable to the size of the clusters is linear. The gravitational growth of the cosmological density fluctuations and the gravitational instabilities leading to cluster formation have been extensively studied by using analytical methods, as well as numerical simulations [20].

In order to derive the generalization of the relativistic virial theorem for galaxy clusters in the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational models we need, as a first step, to obtain the gravitational field equations for a static and spherically symmetric distribution of matter. To thus effect, consider a self-gravitating system of identical, collisionless point particles in random motion. To obtain the basic field equations we will use the scalar-tensor representation of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, which allows a clear physical interpretation of the model.

We assume that the geometry of the cluster can be described by a time-oriented Lorentzian four-dimensional space-time manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The metric of an isolated spherically symmetric cluster is given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)} dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (16)$$

The galaxies in the cluster are considered identical and collisionless point particles, and their space-time distribution is described by a distribution function f_B . The latter function obeys the general relativistic Boltzmann equation, which will be presented in the next Section.

Thus, the energy-momentum tensor of matter is determined by the distribution function f_B , and is given by [17]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \int f_B m u_\mu u_\nu du, \quad (17)$$

where m is the mass of the particle (galaxy), u_μ is the four-velocity of the galaxy and $du = du_r du_\theta du_\varphi / u_t$ is the invariant volume element of the velocity space. The energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ of the matter in a cluster of galaxies can be represented in terms of an effective density ρ_{eff} and of two effective anisotropic pressures, the radial $p_{\text{eff}}^{(r)}$ and the tangential $p_{\text{eff}}^{(\perp)}$ pressures, respectively, given by

$$\rho_{\text{eff}} = \rho \langle u_t^2 \rangle, p_{\text{eff}}^{(r)} = \rho \langle u_r^2 \rangle, p_{\text{eff}}^{(\perp)} = \rho \langle u_\theta^2 \rangle = \rho \langle u_\varphi^2 \rangle, \quad (18)$$

where ρ is the mass density of the ordinary baryonic matter, and $\langle u_i^2 \rangle$, $i = t, r, \theta, \varphi$ is the average value of u_i^2 , $i = t, r, \theta, \varphi$, the square of the components of the four-velocity [8].

By using this form of the energy-momentum tensor, the gravitational field equations describing a cluster of

galaxies in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity take the form

$$\begin{aligned} -e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda'}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} &= 8\pi \frac{G}{1+\phi} \rho \langle u_t^2 \rangle \\ -\frac{1}{2(1+\phi)} V(\phi) + \frac{1}{1+\phi} (\nabla_t \nabla^t - \square) \phi, \\ -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_t \phi \nabla^t \phi + \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_\lambda \phi \nabla^\lambda \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} &= 8\pi \frac{G}{1+\phi} \rho \langle u_r^2 \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2(1+\phi)} V(\phi) - \frac{1}{1+\phi} (\nabla_r \nabla^r - \square) \phi, \\ + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_r \phi \nabla^r \phi - \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_\lambda \phi \nabla^\lambda \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda} \left(\nu'' + \frac{\nu'^2}{2} + \frac{\nu' - \lambda'}{r} - \frac{\nu' \lambda'}{2} \right) &= 8\pi \frac{G}{1+\phi} \rho \langle u_\theta^2 \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2(1+\phi)} V(\phi) - \frac{1}{1+\phi} (\nabla_\theta \nabla^\theta - \square) \phi \\ + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_\theta \phi \nabla^\theta \phi - \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_\lambda \phi \nabla^\lambda \phi &= 8\pi \frac{G}{1+\phi} \rho \langle u_\varphi^2 \rangle \\ - + \frac{1}{2(1+\phi)} V(\phi) \frac{1}{1+\phi} (\nabla_\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \square) \phi \\ + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_\varphi \phi \nabla^\varphi \phi - \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_\lambda \phi \nabla^\lambda \phi & \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where there is no summation upon the pair of indices (t, r, θ, φ) . A useful relationship is obtained by adding the gravitational field equations Eqs. (19)–(22), from which we obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu''}{2} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} + \frac{\nu'}{r} - \frac{\nu' \lambda'}{4} \right) &= 4\pi \frac{G}{1+\phi} \rho \langle u^2 \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{1+\phi} V(\phi) + \frac{1}{1+\phi} (2\nabla_t \nabla^t + \square) \phi - \frac{3}{\phi(1+\phi)} \nabla_t \phi \nabla^t \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\langle u^2 \rangle = \langle u_t^2 \rangle + \langle u_r^2 \rangle + \langle u_\theta^2 \rangle + \langle u_\varphi^2 \rangle$.

Since we are interested in astrophysical applications at the extra-galactic level, we may assume that the deviations from standard general relativity (corresponding to the background value $\phi = 0$) are small, i.e., $\phi \ll 1$. Thus, Eq. (23) can be rewritten as

$$e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu''}{2} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} + \frac{\nu'}{r} - \frac{\nu' \lambda'}{4} \right) \simeq 4\pi G \rho \langle u^2 \rangle + 4\pi G \rho_\phi^{(eff)}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$4\pi G \rho_\phi^{(eff)} \simeq V(\phi) + (2\nabla_t \nabla^t + \square) \phi - \frac{3}{\phi} \nabla_t \phi \nabla^t \phi, \quad (25)$$

represents an effective energy of the scalar field in the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational model.

IV. THE GENERALIZED VIRIAL THEOREM IN HYBRID METRIC-PALATINI GRAVITY

As a second step in the derivation of the virial theorem for galaxy clusters, which we describe by using the distribution function f_B , we have to write down the Boltzmann type equation governing the evolution of the distribution function. This equation can then be integrated over the velocity space, to yield an equation which, used together with the gravitational field equations, gives finally the required generalization of the virial theorem for a general relativistic distribution of point particles.

A. The relativistic Boltzmann equation

The transport equation for the distribution function for a system of particles in a curved arbitrary Riemannian space-time is given by the Boltzmann equation [17]

$$\left(p^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} - p^\alpha p^\beta \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i} \right) f_B = 0, \quad (26)$$

where p^α is the four-momentum of the particle, and $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i$ are the Christoffel symbols associated to the metric. Note that the collisionless Boltzmann equation states that the local phase space density viewed by an observer comoving with a star or galaxy is conserved.

A considerable simplification of the mathematical formalism can be obtained by introducing an appropriate orthonormal frame or tetrad $e_\mu^a(x)$, $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$, which varies smoothly over some coordinates neighborhood U and satisfies the condition $g^{\mu\nu} e_\mu^a e_\nu^b = \eta^{ab}$ for all $x \in U$, where η^{ab} is the Minkowski metric tensor [8, 17]. Any tangent vector p^μ at x can be expressed as $p^\mu = p^a e_\mu^a$, which defines the tetrad components p^a .

In the case of the spherically symmetric line element given by Eq. (16) an appropriate choice of the frame of orthonormal vectors is [8, 17]:

$$e_\mu^0 = e^{\nu/2} \delta_\mu^0, \quad e_\mu^1 = e^{\lambda/2} \delta_\mu^1, \quad e_\mu^2 = r \delta_\mu^2, \quad e_\mu^3 = r \sin \theta \delta_\mu^3. \quad (27)$$

Let u^μ be the four-velocity of a typical galaxy, satisfying the condition $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$, with tetrad components $u^a = u^\mu e_\mu^a$. In tetrad components the relativistic Boltzmann equation is

$$u^a e_\mu^a \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial x^\mu} + \gamma_{bc}^a u^b u^c \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial u^a} = 0, \quad (28)$$

where the distribution function $f_B = f_B(x^\mu, u^a)$ and $\gamma_{bc}^a = e_{\mu;\nu}^a e_b^\mu e_c^\nu$ are the Ricci rotation coefficients [8, 17]. By assuming that the only coordinate dependence of the distribution function is upon the radial coordinate r ,

Eq. (28) becomes [8]

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{1}{2} u_0^2 \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} - \frac{u_2^2 + u_3^2}{r} \right) \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial u_1} \\ - \frac{1}{r} u_1 \left(u_2 \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial u_2} + u_3 \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial u_3} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{r} e^{\lambda/2} u_3 \cot \theta \left(u_2 \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial u_3} - u_3 \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial u_2} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

For a spherically symmetric system the coefficient of $\cot \theta$ in Eq. (29) must be zero. This implies that the distribution function f_B is only a function of r , u_1 and $u_2^2 + u_3^2$. Multiplying Eq. (29) by $mu_r du$, integrating over the velocity space, and by assuming that f_B vanishes sufficiently rapidly as the velocities tend to $\pm\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [\rho \langle u_1^2 \rangle] + \frac{1}{2} \rho [\langle u_0^2 \rangle + \langle u_1^2 \rangle] r \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} \\ - \rho [\langle u_2^2 \rangle + \langle u_3^2 \rangle - 2 \langle u_1^2 \rangle] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Multiplying Eq. (30) by $4\pi r^2$, and integrating over the cluster gives [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R 4\pi \rho [\langle u_1^2 \rangle + \langle u_2^2 \rangle + \langle u_3^2 \rangle] r^2 dr \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R 4\pi r^3 \rho [\langle u_0^2 \rangle + \langle u_1^2 \rangle] \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} dr = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

B. Geometric quantities

In the following we introduce some approximations that apply to test particles in stable circular motion around galaxies, as well as to the galactic clusters. First, we assume that ν and λ are slowly varying functions of the radial coordinate (i.e. ν' and λ' are small). Therefore in Eq. (24) we can neglect all the quadratic terms. Secondly, we assume that the motion of the galaxies is non-relativistic, so that they have velocities much smaller than the velocity of the light, i.e., $\langle u_1^2 \rangle \approx \langle u_2^2 \rangle \approx \langle u_3^2 \rangle \ll \langle u_0^2 \rangle \approx 1$. Thus, Eqs. (24) and (31) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} \right) = 4\pi G \rho + 4\pi G \rho_\phi^{(eff)}, \quad (32)$$

and

$$2K - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R 4\pi r^3 \rho \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} dr = 0, \quad (33)$$

respectively, where

$$K = \int_0^R 2\pi \rho [\langle u_1^2 \rangle + \langle u_2^2 \rangle + \langle u_3^2 \rangle] r^2 dr, \quad (34)$$

is the total kinetic energy of the galaxies. The total baryonic mass of the system M_B is defined as $M_B = \int_0^R dM(r) = \int_0^R 4\pi \rho r^2 dr$. The main contribution to M_B

is due to the baryonic mass of the intra-cluster gas and of the stars, but other particles, such as massive neutrinos, may also give a significant contribution to M_B .

Now, multiplying Eq. (32) by r^2 and integrating from 0 to r we obtain

$$GM_B(r) = \frac{1}{2}r^2 \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} - GM_\phi^{(eff)}(r), \quad (35)$$

where we have denoted

$$M_\phi^{(eff)}(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r') r'^2 dr'. \quad (36)$$

Since in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, the quantity $M_\phi^{(eff)}$ has essentially a geometric origin, we tentatively denote it as the *geometric mass* of the cluster. In the following we define the gravitational potential energies of the cluster as

$$\Omega_B = - \int_0^R \frac{GM_B(r)}{r} dM_B(r), \quad (37)$$

$$\Omega_\phi^{(eff)} = - \int_0^R \frac{GM_\phi^{(eff)}(r)}{r} dM_B(r), \quad (38)$$

respectively, where R is the cluster radius. By multiplying Eq. (35) with $dM_B(r)$, following an integration from 0 to R , we obtain the relation

$$\Omega_B = \Omega_\phi^{(eff)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R 4\pi r^3 \rho \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} dr. \quad (39)$$

C. Generalized virial theorem

Finally, with the use of Eq. (33), we arrive at the generalization of the virial theorem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, which takes the familiar form

$$2K + \Omega = 0, \quad (40)$$

where the total gravitational potential energy of the system, Ω , defined as

$$\Omega = \Omega_B - \Omega_\phi^{(eff)}. \quad (41)$$

contains a contribution term consisting of a geometric origin, $\Omega_\phi^{(eff)}$.

The generalized virial theorem, given by Eq. (40), can be represented in a more transparent physical form if we introduce the radii R_V and R_ϕ , defined by

$$R_V = M_B^2 / \int_0^R \frac{M_B(r)}{r} dM_B(r), \quad (42)$$

and

$$R_\phi^{(eff)} = [M_\phi^{(eff)}]^2 / \int_0^R \frac{M_\phi^{(eff)}(r)}{r} dM_B(r), \quad (43)$$

respectively. In analogy to the geometric mass considered above, the quantity R_ϕ may be denoted as the *geometric radius* of the cluster of galaxies in the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational models. Thus, the baryonic potential energy Ω_B and the effective scalar field potential energy $\Omega_\phi^{(eff)}$ are finally given by

$$\Omega_B = - \frac{GM_B^2}{R_V}, \quad (44)$$

$$\Omega_\phi^{(eff)} = - \frac{G [M_\phi^{(eff)}]^2}{R_\phi^{(eff)}}, \quad (45)$$

respectively.

We define the virial mass M_V of the cluster of galaxies as

$$2K = \frac{GM_B M_V}{R_V}. \quad (46)$$

After substitution into the virial theorem, given by Eq. (40), we obtain the following relation between the virial and the baryonic mass of the galaxy cluster

$$\frac{M_V}{M_B} = 1 + \frac{[M_\phi^{(eff)}]^2 R_V}{M_B^2 R_\phi^{(eff)}}. \quad (47)$$

If $M_V/M_B > 3$, a condition which holds for most of the observed galactic clusters, then Eq. (47) provides the virial mass in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, which can be approximated as

$$M_V \approx \frac{[M_\phi^{(eff)}]^2}{M_B} \frac{R_V}{R_\phi^{(eff)}}. \quad (48)$$

From the point of view of the astrophysical observations the virial mass M_V is determined from the study of the velocity dispersion σ_r^2 of the stars and of the galaxies in the clusters. According to the virial theorem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, most of the mass in a cluster with mass M_{tot} is in the form of the geometric mass $M_\phi^{(eff)}$, so that $M_\phi^{(eff)} \approx M_{tot}$. An observational possibility of detecting the presence of the geometric mass and of the astrophysical effects of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity is through gravitational lensing, which can provide direct evidence of the geometric mass distribution and of the gravitational effects associated to the presence of the scalar field even at distances extending far beyond of the virial radius of the galaxy cluster.

V. ASTROPHYSICAL APPLICATIONS

Once the integrated mass as a function of the radius is determined for galaxy clusters, a physically meaningful fiducial radius for the mass measurement has to be

defined. The radii commonly used are either r_{200} or r_{500} . These radii lie within the radii of the mean gravitational mass density of the matter $\langle \rho_{tot} \rangle = 200\rho_c$ or $500\rho_c$, with ρ_c given by $\rho_c(z) = h^2(z)3H_0^2/8\pi G$, where $h(z)$ is the Hubble parameter normalized to its local value, i.e., $h^2(z) = \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda$, Ω_m is the mass density parameter, and Ω_Λ is the dark energy density parameter, respectively [18]. A pragmatic approach to the virial mass is to use r_{200} as the outer boundary of the galaxy cluster [19]. The numerical values of the radius r_{200} are in the range $r_{200} = 0.85$ Mpc (for the cluster NGC 4636) and $r_{200} = 4.49$ Mpc (for the cluster A2163), so that a typical value for r_{200} is approximately 2 Mpc. The masses corresponding to r_{200} and r_{500} are denoted by M_{200} and M_{500} , respectively, and it is usually assumed that $M_V = M_{200}$ and $R_V = r_{200}$ [19].

A. The weak field approximation of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity

In the limit of small static gravitational fields the metric tensor can be approximated as $g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric, and $h_{\mu\nu} \ll 1$. The local perturbation of the scalar field is denoted by φ , and therefore $\phi \approx \phi_0 + \varphi$, where ϕ_0 is the asymptotic value of the scalar field. In this approximation the evolution of the scalar field is described by the equation [14]

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r_\phi^2} \right) \varphi = \kappa^2 \frac{\phi_0}{3} \rho_B, \quad (49)$$

where ρ_B is the mass density of the baryonic matter, $\kappa^2 = c^3/8\pi G$, and $r_\phi = 1/(Gm_\phi/c^2)$, with the effective mass of the field given by $m_\phi^2 = 1/[2V(\phi) - V'(\phi) - \phi(1+\phi)V''(\phi)/3]|_{\phi=\phi_0}$.

The solution of Eq. (49) is given by

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{2}{3}\phi_0 \frac{GM_B}{c^2 r} e^{-2r/r_\phi}, \quad (50)$$

where $M_B = 4\pi \int_0^R \rho_B r^2 dr$ is the total baryonic mass. The scalar field potential can be written as $V(\phi) = V(\phi_0 + \varphi) \approx V(\phi_0) + V'(\phi_0)\varphi$.

In order to estimate the astrophysical effects of the scalar field we have to find first the explicit form of the effective energy associated to the scalar field $\rho_\phi^{(eff)}$, given by Eq. (25). In the static case one can neglect all the derivatives with respect to the time, and take $\Delta\varphi = \varphi/r_\phi^2 + (\kappa^2\phi_0/3)\rho_B$. Therefore for $\rho_\phi^{(eff)}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi G}{c^4} \rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r) &\approx V(\phi_0) + V'(\phi_0)\varphi + \frac{\varphi}{r_\phi^2} + \frac{\kappa^2\phi_0}{3}\rho_B \\ &\approx V(\phi_0) + \frac{2}{3}\phi_0 \frac{GM_B}{c^2 r} e^{-2r/r_\phi} + \frac{\kappa^2\phi_0}{3}\rho_B, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where $\Phi_0 = V'(\phi_0) + 1/r_\phi^2$. In the following we will assume that the baryonic matter density inside the cluster has a r^{-2} dependence on the distance from the cluster center, that is, $\rho_B \propto r^{-2}$, so that $\rho_B = \rho_{B0}r^{-2}$, with ρ_{B0} a constant.

B. Geometric mass and geometric radius from galactic cluster observations

In the clusters of galaxies most of the baryonic mass is in the form of the intra-cluster gas. The gas mass density ρ_g can be fitted with the observational data by using the following simple expression [19]

$$\rho_g(r) = \rho_0 \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_c^2} \right)^{-3\beta/2}, \quad (52)$$

where r_c is the core radius, and ρ_0 and β are (cluster-dependent) constants.

One can also assume that the pressure P_g of the gas satisfies the ideal gas equation of state $P_g = (k_B T_g / \mu m_p) \rho_g$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T_g is the gas temperature, $\mu \approx 0.61$ is the mean atomic weight of the particles in the cluster gas, and m_p is the proton mass [19]. This assumption is well justified physically, since as shown by X-ray observations, the hot, ionized intra-cluster gas is in isothermal equilibrium. Therefore, with the use of the Jeans equation [1] the total mass distribution of the matter in the cluster can be obtained as a function of the gas density as [10, 19]

$$M_{tot}(r) = -\frac{k_B T_g}{\mu m_p G} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \ln \rho_g. \quad (53)$$

Taking into account the density profile of the gas given by Eq. (52), for the total mass profile inside the cluster we obtain the relation [19]

$$M_{tot}(r) = \frac{3k_B \beta T_g}{\mu m_p G} \frac{r^3}{r_c^2 + r^2}. \quad (54)$$

According to the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational model, the total mass M_{tot} of the cluster consists of the sum of the baryonic mass (mainly the intra-cluster gas), and of the geometric mass, so that

$$M_{tot}(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \left[\rho_g + \rho_\phi^{(eff)} \right] r^2 dr. \quad (55)$$

Therefore $M_{tot}(r)$ satisfies the mass continuity equation given by

$$\frac{d}{dr} M_{tot}(r) = 4\pi r^2 \rho_g(r) + 4\pi r^2 \rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r). \quad (56)$$

With the use of Eqs. (52) and (54) we obtain the expression of the geometric density term inside the cluster as

$$4\pi \rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r) = \frac{3k_B \beta T_g (r^2 + 3r_c^2)}{\mu m_p (r_c^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{4\pi G \rho_0}{(1 + r^2/r_c^2)^{3\beta/2}}. \quad (57)$$

In the cluster region where $r \gg r_c$ we obtain for $\rho_\phi^{(eff)}$ the simple relation

$$4\pi\rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r) = \left[\frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu m_p} - 4\pi G\rho_0 r_c^{3\beta} r^{2-3\beta} \right] \frac{1}{r^2}. \quad (58)$$

By power expanding the exponential in Eq. (51) we obtain

$$4\pi\rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r) \approx \frac{c^4}{G} \left[V(\phi_0) - \frac{4}{3} \frac{GM_B}{c^2 r_\varphi} \Phi_0 + \frac{2}{3} \Phi_0 \frac{GM_B}{c^2 r} + \frac{\kappa^2 \phi_0}{3} \frac{\rho_{B0}}{r^2} \right]. \quad (59)$$

In the following we neglect the constant terms in the effective geometric density, as corresponding to the cosmological background. Therefore the comparison of Eqs. (58) and (59) gives

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \phi_0 = \frac{3}{8\pi\rho_{B0}} \frac{k_B T_g}{\mu m_p}, \quad \Phi_0 = -\frac{6\pi G}{c^2} \frac{\rho_0 r_c}{M_B}. \quad (60)$$

Thus, from the last condition, i.e., $\phi_0 < 0$, the scalar field potential must satisfy the condition

$$V'(\phi_0) + 1/r_\varphi^2 < 0. \quad (61)$$

A possible potential satisfying this condition is the exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0 \exp(-2\alpha\phi)$, with V_0 and α positive constants, which gives $V'(\phi_0) = -2\alpha \exp(-2\alpha\phi_0) < 0$. Therefore for this case r_φ must satisfy the condition $r_\varphi > (1/2\alpha) \exp(2\alpha\phi_0)$.

The geometric mass can be obtained, by using the observational data, as

$$GM_\phi^{(eff)}(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r^2 \rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r) dr = \frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu m_p} \frac{r}{1 + r_c^2/r^2} - 4\pi G\rho_0 \int_0^r \frac{r^2 dr}{(1 + r^2/r_c^2)^{3\beta/2}}, \quad (62)$$

and in the limit $r \gg r_c$ it can be approximated as

$$GM_\phi^{(eff)}(r) \approx \left[\frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu m_p} - \frac{4\pi G\rho_0 r_c^{3\beta} r^{2-3\beta}}{3(1-\beta)} \right] r. \quad (63)$$

By using the approximation given by Eq. (59) for the geometric density, we obtain

$$M_\phi^{(eff)}(r) \approx \frac{c^4}{G} \left(\frac{2}{3} \Phi_0 \frac{GM_B}{c^2} \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa^2 \phi_0}{3} \rho_{B0} r \right). \quad (64)$$

Since astrophysical observations show that the gas represents only a small fraction of the total mass [18–21], the contribution of the gas density and mass to the geometric density and geometric mass, respectively, can be neglected. Therefore, for the geometric density and mass we obtain

$$4\pi G\rho_\phi^{(eff)}(r) \approx \left(\frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu m_p} \right) r^{-2}, \quad (65)$$

and

$$GM_\phi^{(eff)}(r) \approx \left(\frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu m_p} \right) r, \quad (66)$$

respectively.

The form of the metric tensor component e^ν inside the cluster can be found by using the obtained mass. From Eq. (32) it follows that ν' satisfies the equation $r^2 \nu' = 2M_{tot}(r) \approx 2M_\phi(r)$, which gives

$$e^\nu \approx C_\nu r^{2s}, \quad (67)$$

where C_ν is an arbitrary integration constant, and

$$s = \frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu G m_p}. \quad (68)$$

In the first approximation we can assume that the metric coefficient $e^{-\lambda}$ is given by its standard general relativistic form, $e^{-\lambda} \approx 1 - 2GM_{tot}/r$, leading to $e^{-\lambda} \approx 1 - 6k_B\beta T_g/\mu m_p = 1 - 2Gs$. However, this approximation may not be valid inside the clusters of galaxies.

Hence, in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity the metric inside a galactic cluster can be directly obtained from astrophysical observations.

One may also estimate an upper bound for the cutoff of the geometric radius and mass, respectively. As a first estimate for the radius of the scalar field distribution one can consider the radius R_ϕ at which the geometric density, given by Eq. (58), vanishes. This condition gives the size of the cluster as

$$R_\phi = \frac{k_B T_g}{4\pi G\rho_0 r_c \mu m_p}. \quad (69)$$

The estimation of the numerical value of this radius requires the knowledge of ρ_0 and r_c , which are difficult to be obtained from observations. An alternative way of defining the cutoff radius of the cluster is by considering the point at which the decaying geometric density of the cluster becomes smaller than the average energy density of the Universe. We denote the value of the coordinate radius at the point where the two densities are equal by $R_\phi^{(cr)}$. Then for $r = R_\phi^{(cr)}$ we have $\rho_\phi^{(eff)}(R_\phi^{(cr)}) = \rho_{univ}$, where ρ_{univ} is the mean energy density of the Universe. By assuming $\rho_{univ} = \rho_c = 3H^2/8\pi G = 4.6975 \times 10^{-30} h_{50}^2 \text{ g/cm}^{-3}$, where $H = 50 h_{50} \text{ km/Mpc/s}$ [19], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_\phi^{(cr)} &= \left(\frac{3k_B\beta T_g}{\mu m_p G \rho_c} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= 91.33 \sqrt{\beta} \left(\frac{k_B T_g}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{1/2} h_{50}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

The total geometric mass $M_\phi^{(cr)} = M_\phi(R_\phi^{(cr)})$ extending up to $R_\phi^{(cr)}$ is

$$M_\phi^{(cr)} = 4.83 \times 10^{16} \beta^{3/2} \left(\frac{k_B T_g}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{3/2} h_{50}^{-1} M_\odot. \quad (71)$$

This value of $M_\phi^{(cr)}$ is consistent with the observations of the mass distribution in the clusters of galaxies [19]. However, according to the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational model, we predict that due to the presence of the effective scalar field the geometric mass and its effects do extend beyond the virial radius of the clusters, which is of the order of only a few Mpc.

C. Radial velocity dispersion in galactic clusters

In terms of the characteristic velocity dispersion σ_1 the virial mass can also be expressed as [21]

$$M_V = \frac{3}{G} \sigma_1^2 R_V. \quad (72)$$

Taking into account that the velocity distribution in the cluster is isotropic, we have $\langle u^2 \rangle = \langle u_1^2 \rangle + \langle u_2^2 \rangle + \langle u_3^2 \rangle = 3\langle u_1^2 \rangle = 3\sigma_r^2$, where σ_r^2 is the radial velocity dispersion. σ_1 and σ_r are related by $3\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_r^2$.

The radial velocity dispersion relation for clusters of galaxies in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity can be derived from Eq. (30). Since the velocity distribution is isotropic, we obtain first

$$\frac{d}{dr} (\rho \sigma_r^2) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{d\nu}{dr} = 0. \quad (73)$$

Inside the cluster in the first order of approximation the condition $e^{-\lambda} \approx 1$ holds. In the limit of small velocities the modified field equation, Eq. (24) can be integrated to yield

$$r^2 \nu' = 2GM_\phi(r) + 2GM_B(r) + 2C, \quad (74)$$

where C is an arbitrary constant of integration. Since from Eq. (73) we have $\nu' = -(2/\rho)d(\rho\sigma_r^2)/dr$, it follows that in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity the radial velocity dispersion of the galactic clusters satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dr} (\rho \sigma_r^2) = -\frac{GM_\phi(r)}{r^2} \rho(r) - \frac{GM(r)}{r^2} \rho(r) - \frac{C}{r^2} \rho(r), \quad (75)$$

with the general solution given by

$$\sigma_r^2(r) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \int_r^r \left[\frac{GM_\phi(r')}{r'^2} \rho(r') + \frac{GM(r')}{r'^2} \rho(r') + \frac{C}{r'^2} \rho(r') \right] dr' + \frac{C_1}{\rho}, \quad (76)$$

where C_1 is an integration constant.

In the following we consider a simple case in which the density ρ of the normal matter inside the cluster has a power law distribution, given by

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 r^{-\gamma}, \quad (77)$$

with ρ_0 and $\gamma \neq 1, 3$ positive constants. The corresponding baryonic matter mass profile is $M_B(r) =$

$4\pi\rho_0 r^{3-\gamma}/(3-\gamma)$. The geometric mass, given by $GM_\phi \approx (3k_B\beta T_g/\mu m_p)r = q_0 r$, where $q_0 = (3k_B\beta T_g/\mu m_p)$, is linearly proportional to r , as has been shown in the previous Section.

Therefore, for $\gamma \neq 1, 3$, we obtain the following expression for the velocity dispersion,

$$\sigma_r^2(r) = \frac{q_0}{\gamma} + \frac{2\pi G\rho_0}{(\gamma-1)(3-\gamma)} r^{2-\gamma} + \frac{C}{\gamma+1} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{C_1}{\rho_0} r^\gamma. \quad (78)$$

For $\gamma = 1$, we find

$$\sigma_r^2(r) = q_0 + \frac{C}{2r} - 2\pi G\rho_0 r \ln r + \frac{C_1}{\rho_0} r, \quad (79)$$

while for $\gamma = 3$ we obtain

$$\sigma_r^2(r) = \frac{q_0}{3} - \pi G\rho_0 \left(\ln r + \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{r^4} + \frac{C}{4} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{C_1}{\rho_0} r^3. \quad (80)$$

For clusters of galaxies the observed data for the velocity dispersion are usually analyzed by assuming for the radial velocity dispersion the simple form $\sigma_r^2(r) = B/(r+b)$, with B and b constants. For the density of the galaxies in the clusters the profile $\rho(r) = A/r(r+a)^2$, with A and a constants, is used. The observational data are then fitted with these functions by using a non-linear fitting procedure [21]. For $r \ll a$, $\rho(r) \approx A/r$, while for $r \gg a$, $\rho(r)$ behaves like $\rho(r) \approx A/r^3$. Therefore the comparison of the observed velocity dispersion profiles of the galaxy clusters and the velocity dispersion profiles predicted by hybrid metric-Palatini gravity provides a powerful method for observationally discriminating between the different modified gravity theoretical models.

VI. DISCUSSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

Modern astrophysics and cosmology have to face two major difficulties: the dark energy and the dark matter problems, respectively. One promising approach to improve our understanding of these issues is to modify gravity at large galactic and cosmological scales. In particular, the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational theory can challenge the need for dark matter and dark energy. In the framework of this theory, cosmological models that account for the late time acceleration of the universe do exist [15], as well as viable models that pass all the required Solar System tests [14].

Moreover, as shown in the present paper, in the framework of hybrid metric-Palatini-type modified theories of gravity, the galactic dynamics of massive test particles may also be understood without the need for dark matter. We have analyzed the "dark matter" problem by considering a generalized version of the virial theorem. The virial theorem was obtained by using a method based on the collisionless Boltzmann equation. The additional scalar field terms present in the modified gravitational field equations give an effective contribution to the gravitational energy, which at the galactic/extra-galactic level

acts as an effective mass, playing the role of the “dark matter”. The total virial mass of the galactic clusters is mainly determined by the effective mass associated to the new scalar field terms, which can be called geometrical mass, since its intrinsic origin is geometrical. The presence of this term may explain the well-known virial theorem mass discrepancy in clusters of galaxies [1].

In the framework of the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational theory we have also shown the existence of a strict proportionality between the virial mass of the cluster and its baryonic mass, a relation which can also be tested observationally. Since galaxy clusters are “dark matter” dominated objects, the main contribution to their mass comes from the geometric mass $M_\phi^{(eff)}$, so that with a very good approximation we have $M_\phi^{(eff)} \approx M_V \approx M_{tot}$. Therefore the virial theorem gives immediately the following mass scaling relation

$$M_V \approx M_B \frac{R_\phi^{(eff)}}{R_V}. \quad (81)$$

This equation shows that in the framework of hybrid metric-Palatini $f(X)$ -type modified gravity the virial mass is proportional to the baryonic (normal) mass of the cluster, and that the ratio of the total mass and of baryonic mass is determined by a purely geometric quantity, the geometric radius R_ϕ . Hence the geometric radius of the cluster can be determined from observations, once the virial and baryonic masses and the virial radius, respectively, are known.

By assuming that the cluster extends up to a value of the radius given by $R_\phi \approx R_\phi^{(cr)}$, we obtain the following relation between the virial and the baryonic mass of the cluster

$$M_V \approx 91.33 \sqrt{\beta} \left(\frac{k_B T_g}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{1/2} h_{50}^{-1} \frac{M_B}{R_V (\text{Mpc})}. \quad (82)$$

For a cluster with gas temperature $T_g = 5 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$, $\beta = 1/2$ and $R_V = 2 \text{ Mpc}$ we obtain $M_V \approx 32 M_B$, a relation which is consistent with the astronomical observations [19].

One of the important, and observationally testable, predictions of the hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational

“dark matter” model is that the geometric masses associated to the clusters, as well as its gravitational effects, extend beyond the virial radii of the clusters. Observationally, the virial mass M_V is obtained from the study of the velocity dispersions of the stars in the cluster. Due to the observational uncertainties, this method cannot give a reliable estimation of the numerical value of the total mass $M_g + M_\phi^{(eff)}$ in the cluster. However, a much more powerful method for the determination of the total mass distribution in clusters is the gravitational lensing of light, which may provide direct evidence for the gravitational effects at large distances from the cluster, and for the existence of the geometric mass. The presence of hybrid metric-Palatini modified gravity effects at large distances from the cluster, and especially the large extension of the geometric mass, may lead to significantly different lensing observational signatures, as compared to the standard relativistic/dark matter model case. The bending angle in the hybrid metric-Palatini gravity models could be larger than the one predicted by the standard dark matter models. Therefore, the detailed observational study of the gravitational lensing could discriminate between the different theoretical models introduced to explain the motion of galaxies (“particles”) in the clusters of galaxies, and the standard dark matter models.

To conclude, the generalized virial theorem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity might be an efficient tool in observationally testing the viability of this class of generalized gravity models.

Acknowledgments

S. C. is supported by INFN (Iniziativa Specifica NA12). T. S. K. is supported by the Research Council of Norway. F. S. N. L. acknowledges financial support of the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia through the grants CERN/FP/123615/2011 and CERN/FP/123618/2011. G. J. O. is supported by the Spanish grants FIS2008-06078-C03-02, FIS2011-29813-C02-02, the Consolider Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042), and the JAE-doc program of the Spanish Research Council (CSIC).

-
- [1] J. Binney and S. Tremaine, *Galactic dynamics*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, (1987); M. Persic, P. Salucci and F. Stel, *Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **281**, 27 (1996); A. Borriello and P. Salucci, *Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **323**, 285 (2001); P. Salucci, A. Lapi, C. Tonini, G. Gentile, I. Yegorova and U. Klein, *astro-ph/0703115* (2007).
 - [2] P. Salucci, C. Frigerio Martins, and A. Lapi, *arXiv:1102.1184* (2011).
 - [3] J. M. Overduin and P. S. Wesson, *Phys. Repts.* **402**, 267 (2004); A. S. Majumdar and N. Mukherjee, *Int. J. Mod.*

- Phys. D14*, 1095 (2005).
- [4] T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 124051 (2011); T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, 547 (2012).
- [5] M. Milgrom, *Astrophys. J.* **270**, 365 (1983); R. H. Sanders, *Astron. Astrophys.* **136**, L21 (1984); J. Bekenstein and M. Milgrom, *Astrophys. J.* **286**, 7 (1984); R. H. Sanders, *Astron. Astrophys.* **154**, 135 (1986); P. D. Mannheim, *Astrophys. J.* **419**, 150 (1993); J. W. Moffat and I. Y. Sokolov, *Phys. Lett. B* **378**, 59 (1996); P. D. Mannheim, *Astrophys. J.* **479**,

- 659 (1997); M. Milgrom, *New Astron. Rev.* **46**, 741, (2002); M. Milgrom, *Astrophys. J.* **599**, L25, (2003); M. K. Mak and T. Harko, *Phys. Rev.* **D70**, 024010 (2004); J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D70**, 083509 (2004); M. D. Roberts, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36**, 2423, (2004); J. R. Brownstein and J. W. Moffat, *Astrophys. J.* **636**, 721 (2006); J. R. Brownstein and J. W. Moffat, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **367**, 527 (2006); T. Harko and K. S. Cheng, *Astrophys. J.* **636**, 8 (2006); C. G. Böhrmer and T. Harko, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24**, 3191 (2007); S. Capozziello, V.F. Cardone, A. Troisi, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **375**, 1423 (2007); Bertolami, C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S.N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev.* **D75**, 104016 (2007); C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Astropart. Phys.* **29**, 386 (2008); S. Capozziello, E. De Filippis, and V. Salzano, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **394**, 947 (2009); L. A. Gergely, T. Harko, M. Dwornik, G. Kupa, and Z. Keresztes, *Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc.* **415**, 3275 (2011); S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Phys. Rept.* **509**, 167 (2011); K. C. Wong, T. Harko, K. S. Cheng, and L. A. Gergely, *Phys. Rev.* **D86**, 044038 (2012); D. F. Mota, V. Salzano, S. Capozziello, and N. R. Napolitano, arXiv:1211.1019 (2012); S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Annalen Phys.* **524**, 545 (2012).
- [6] G. W. Collins, *The virial theorem in stellar astrophysics*, Tucson, Ariz., Pachart Publishing House (1978).
- [7] S. Bonazzola, *Astrophys. J.* **182**, 335 (1973); C. Vilain, *Astrophys. J.* **227**, 307 (1979); A. Georgiou, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **13**, 3751 (1980); E. Gourgoulhon and S. Bonazzola, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11**, 443 (1994); A. Georgiou, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 359 (2003).
- [8] J. C. Jackson, *Month. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **148**, 249 (1970).
- [9] M. Nowakowski, J.-C. Sanabria and A. Garcia, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 023003 (2002); A. Balaguera-Antolínez, C. G. Böhrmer and M. Nowakowski, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 485 (2006); A. Balaguera-Antolínez, D. F. Mota and M. Nowakowski, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **382**, 621 (2007); M. Roshan, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** 215001 (2012); P. Mach, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **422**, 772 (2012).
- [10] T. Harko and K. S. Cheng, *Phys. Rev.* **D76**, 044013 (2007).
- [11] C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, *JCAP* **0803**, 024 (2008).
- [12] H. R. Sepangi and S. Shahidi, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 185010 (2009); M. Heydari-Fard and M. Heydari-Fard, *Phys. Rev.* **D84**, 024040 (2011).
- [13] A. S. Sefiedgar, K. Atazadeh, and H. R. Sepangi, *Phys. Rev.* **D80**, 064010 (2009).
- [14] T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo, and G. J. Olmo, *Phys. Rev.* **D85**, 084016 (2012).
- [15] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S.N. Lobo, and G. J. Olmo, arXiv:1209.2895 (2012) to appear in *Phys. Rev. D*.
- [16] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S.N. Lobo, and G. J. Olmo, arXiv:1209.5862 (2012).
- [17] R. W. Lindquist, *Annals of Physics* **37**, 487 (1966); R. Maartens and S. D. Maharaj, *J. Math. Phys.* **26**, 2869 (1985); S. Bildhauer, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6**, 1171 (1989); Z. Banach and S. Piekarski, *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 4809 (1994).
- [18] M. Arnaud, “X-ray observations of clusters of galaxies”, in “Background Microwave Radiation and Intracuster Cosmology”, Proceedings of the International School of Physics “Enrico Fermi”, edited by F. Melchiorri and Y. Rephaeli, published by IOS Press, The Netherlands, and Società Italiana di Fisica, Bologna, Italy, p.77, (2005).
- [19] T. H. Reiprich and H. Böhringer, *Astrophys. J.* **567**, 716 (2002).
- [20] P. Schuecker, H. Böhringer, K. Arzner and T. H. Reiprich, *Astron. Astrophys.* **370**, 715 (2001); M. Baldi, V. Pettorino, G. Robbers, and V. Springel, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **403**, 1684 (2010); 2B. Li and J. D. Barrow, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 024007 (2011).
- [21] R. G. Carlberg, H. K. C. Yee and E. Ellingson, *Astrophys. J.* **478**, 462 (1997).